

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO).	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/849,281		05/19/2004	Rainer Hergemoller	HERGEMOLLER - 1	6630
25889	7590	09/27/2005		· EXAMINER	
	WILLIAM COLLARD CRANE COLLARD & ROE, P.C.				
	,	P.C. BOULEVARD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ROSLYN,	NY 115	76		3725	
				DATE MAILED: 09/27/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			XO
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/849,281	HERGEMOLLER, RAINER	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Daniel C. Crane	3725	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 36(a). In no event, however, may will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mo cause the application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal ma		S
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o			
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected t drawing(s) be held in abey tion is required if the drawir	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121((d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in rity documents have bee u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/17/2004.	Paper N	v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	

Application/Control Number: 10/849,281

Art Unit: 3725

BASIS FOR REJECTIONS

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

REJECTION OF CLAIMS OVER PRIOR ART

Claims 1, 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bletso (2,348,595). See Figures 5-10 where the metal is drawn through a plurality of dies 141, 147, 147a, 155, 159, 163 by drawing units 142 and 146. The drawn material is continuously supplied to a final production stage 202, 204 and 208 to straighten the wire before it is coiled.

Claims 2 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bletso (2,348,595) in view of Smart (2,702,937). It is well known to heat drawn material so as to facilitate shaping of the material. Smart discloses this at column 4, line 25. It would have been obvious to the skilled artisan at the time of the invention to have modified Bletso's process by further heating the material prior to supplying the material to the final production stage for the

Application/Control Number: 10/849,281

Art Unit: 3725

noted motivation. The specific temperature range would have been selected based upon the material being manipulated.

Claims 1 and 4-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Johnson (1,474,778) or Johnson (1,338,453), either one further in view of Whittaker (2,138,201). Johnson illustrates the basic claimed method and apparatus where material is drawn and subsequent to the drawing operation, the material is fed through a final production stage 13. Johnson and Johnson do not show that a multi-stage drawing unit is used, however, Johnson and Johnson both recognize that the drawing can be any conventional drawing assemblies. Whitaker makes evident a multi-stage drawing assembly 41, 42 for the purpose of drawing down the material is successive stages. It would have been obvious to the skilled artisan at the time of the invention to have modified either one of the Johnson teachings by further drawing the material in a successive manner as taught by Whittaker for the noted motivation. The principle velocity vector is horizontal.

PRIOR ART CITED BY EXAMINER

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

RESPONSE BY APPLICANT(S)

Applicant(s) response to be fully responsive and to provide for a clear record must specifically point out how the language of the claims patentably distinguishes them from the

Application/Control Number: 10/849,281

Art Unit: 3725

references, both those references applied in the objections and rejections and those references cited in view of the state of the art in accordance with 37 CFR 1.111 (a), (b) and (c).

INQUIRIES

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner D. Crane whose telephone number is (571) 272-4516. The examiner's office hours are 6:30AM-5:00PM, Tuesday through Friday. The examiner's supervisor, Mr. Derris Banks, can be reached at (571) 272-4419.

Documents related to the instant application may be submitted by facsimile transmission at all times to Fax number (703) 872-9306. Applicant(s) is(are) reminded to clearly mark any transmission as "DRAFT" if it is not to be considered as an official response. The Examiner's Fax number is (571) 273-4416.

DCCrane September 20, 2005 Daniel C. Crane

Primary Patent Examiner Group Art Unit 3725